on structural outcomes. Third, the effect of filgotinib on dactylitis could not be established here; a phase 3 study is needed to evaluate this outcome. Filgotinib's effect on axial disease is also important and, although not assessed here, was investigated in a phase 2 trial<sup>23</sup> in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Fourth, although previous exposure to one anti-TNF drug was allowed in this study (following an appropriate washout period), the results might not be generalisable to patients with psoriatic arthritis who have failed multiple biological treatments, in whom the need for new pharmacotherapies is greatest. Confirmation of these results in larger phase 3 trials is awaited and, until then, comparisons with data from other phase 3 trials should be done with caution.

In conclusion, selective JAK1 inhibition by filgotinib significantly improved signs and symptoms of psoriatic arthritis in patients with active disease. The primary, secondary, and exploratory efficacy endpoints showed rapid improvements in multiple domains of psoriatic arthritis disease activity, including enthesitis and patient-reported outcomes. The safety profile of filgotinib after 16 weeks of treatment was similar to previous reports and no new safety signals were identified.

## Contributors

PM, CT, LM, PH, and DDG designed the study. MS, ARH, AD, LM, PH, RN, and AVdA collected the data. PSH, CT, LM, RB, and AVdA analysed the data. PM, LCC, PSH, AD, WA-S, CT, LM, PH, RB, NM, JMG, RK, FVdB, and DDG interpreted the data. All authors reviewed and revised drafts of the manuscript and approved the final version.

# Declaration of interests

PM reports consultancy fees from Galapagos during the conduct of the study and, outside of the submitted work, reports consultancy fees, research grants, and speaker fees from Abbvie, Amgen, BMS, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB; consultancy fees and research grants from SUN; research grants and speaker fees from Celgene; and speaker fees from Genentech. LCC reports personal fees from Galapagos during the conduct of the study and, outside of the submitted work, reports grants and personal fees from Abbvie, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Novartis, and Pfizer, and personal fees from Amgen, Galapagos, Janssen, Prothena, Sun pharma, and UCB. PSH reports advisory fees from Galapagos during the conduct of the study and, outside of the submitted work, reports grants, personal fees, research funding, speaker fees, and nonfinancial support from Abbvie; grants and speaker fees from Amgen and Janssen; grants and research support from Pfizer; grants from UCB and Novartis; and personal fees from Celgene. MS reports fees to conduct this study from Galapagos during the conduct of the study and, outside the submitted work, reports fees for conducting studies from AstraZeneca, Celltrion, Eli Lilly, Galapagos, Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline, Human Genome, MedImmune, Pfizer, Roche, and UCB. ARH reports a national coordinator fee, her institute received a fee to conduct this study from Galapagos during the conduct of the study and, outside the submitted work, her institute received fees from Gilead Sciences for conducting another study. WA-S, CT, LM, and RB are employees of, and have received warrants from, Galapagos during the conduct of the study. PH and AVdA were employees of, and received warrants from, Galapagos during the conduct of the study. NM, JMG, and RK are employees of, and have shares from, Gilead Sciences. FVdB reports consultancy fees from Galapagos during the conduct of the study and, outside of the submitted work, reports speaker and consultancy fees from Abbyie, BMS, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB. DDG reports involvement in trial participation and design for, and has received grants, personal fees, and consultancy fees from Abbvie, Amgen, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB. DDG also reports trial participation and design, personal fees, and consultancy fees from BMS and Galapagos outside the submitted work. AD reports no competing interests.

#### Data sharin

Data sharing with regard to this study is being managed by Gilead Sciences. The clinical study report synopsis and de-identified patient-level data from clinical trial analysis datasets will be made available from 6 months after approval of the study compound by the US Food and Drug Administration and European Medicines Agency until an indefinite date. Research proposals should be submitted to Gilead at datarequest@ gilead.com. Access to these data will be provided in a secured analysis environment to qualified external researchers approved by Gilead, depending on the nature of the request, the merit of the research proposed, availability of the data and the intended use of the data. To gain access, approved requestors will need to sign a data sharing agreement.

## Acknowledgments

We thank the study investigators and patients who participated in this study. Individuals at Gilead Sciences were responsible for data management and statistics. The study was sponsored by Galapagos and was co-funded by Galapagos and Gilead Sciences. Medical writing support (including development of a draft outline and subsequent drafts in consultation with the authors, assembling tables and figures, collating author comments, copy-editing, fact-checking, and referencing) was provided by Alice Wareham at Aspire Scientific (Bollington, UK), and was funded by Galapagos.

#### Reference

- Ogdie A, Weiss P. The epidemiology of psoriatic arthritis. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2015; 41: 545–68.
- 2 Gladman DD, Antoni C, Mease P, Clegg DO, Nash P. Psoriatic arthritis: epidemiology, clinical features, course, and outcome. Ann Rheum Dis 2005; 64: ii14–17.
- 3 Peluso R, Iervolino S, Vitiello M, Bruner V, Lupoli G, Di Minno MN. Extra-articular manifestations in psoriatic arthritis patients. Clin Rheumatol 2015: 34: 745–53.
- 4 Kerschbaumer A, Baker D, Smolen JS, Aletaha D. The effects of structural damage on functional disability in psoriatic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017; **76**: 2038–45.
- 5 Gudu T, Gossec L. Quality of life in psoriatic arthritis. Exp Rev Clin Immunol 2018; 14: 405–17.
- 6 D'Angiolella LS, Cortesi PA, Lafranconi A, et al. Cost and cost effectiveness of treatments for psoriatic arthritis: a systematic literature review. *PharmacoEconomics* 2018; 36: 567–89.
- 7 Kawalec P, Malinowski KP. The indirect costs of psoriatic arthritis: systematic review and meta-analysis. Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res 2015; 15: 125–32.
- 8 Gossec L, Smolen JS, Ramiro S, et al. European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations for the management of psoriatic arthritis with pharmacological therapies: 2015 update. Ann Rheum Dis 2016; 75: 499–510.
- 9 Coates LC, Kavanaugh A, Mease PJ, et al. Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis 2015 treatment recommendations for psoriatic arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016; 68: 1060–71.
- Merola JF, Lockshin B, Mody EA. Switching biologics in the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2017; 47: 29–37.
- 11 Lebwohl MG, Bachelez H, Barker J, et al. Patient perspectives in the management of psoriasis: results from the population-based Multinational Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis Survey. J Am Acad Dermatol 2014; 70: 871–81.
- 12 Babon JJ, Lucet IS, Murphy JM, Nicola NA, Varghese LN. The molecular regulation of Janus kinase (JAK) activation. *Biochem J* 2014; **462**: 1–13.
- 13 Meyer DM, Jesson MI, Li X, et al. Anti-inflammatory activity and neutrophil reductions mediated by the JAK1/JAK3 inhibitor, CP-690,550, in rat adjuvant-induced arthritis. J Inflamm 2010; 7: 41.
- 14 Mease P, Hall S, FitzGerald O, et al. Tofacitinib or adalimumab versus placebo for psoriatic arthritis. N Engl J Med 2017; 377: 1537–50.
- 15 Gladman D, Rigby W, Azevedo VF, et al. Tofacitinib for psoriatic arthritis in patients with an inadequate response to TNF inhibitors. N Engl J Med 2017; 377: 1525–36.
- 16 Wu D, Yue J, Tam LS. Efficacy and safety of biologics targeting interleukin-6, -12/23 and -17 pathways for peripheral psoriatic arthritis: a network meta-analysis. *Rheumatology* 2018; 57: 563–71.

- 17 Van Rompaey L, Galien R, van der Aar EM, et al. Preclinical characterization of GLPG0634, a selective inhibitor of JAK1, for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. J Immunol 2013; 191: 3568–77.
- 18 Robin-Jagerschmidt C, Lavazais S, Marsais F, et al. OP0161 the JAK1 selective inhibitor filgotinib regulates both enthesis and colon inflammation in a mouse model of psoriatic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017; 76: 118–19.
- 19 Kavanaugh A, Kremer J, Ponce L, et al. Filgotinib (GLPG0634/GS-6034), an oral selective JAK1 inhibitor, is effective as monotherapy in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis: results from a randomised, dose-finding study (DARWIN 2). Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 1009–19.
- 20 Westhovens R, Taylor PC, Alten R, et al. Filgotinib (GLPG0634/GS-6034), an oral JAK1 selective inhibitor, is effective in combination with methotrexate (MTX) in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis and insufficient response to MTX: results from a randomised, dose-finding study (DARWIN 1). Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 998–1008.
- 21 Genovese M, Westhovens R, Meuleners L, et al. Effect of filgotinib, a selective JAK 1 inhibitor, with and without methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: patient-reported outcomes. Arthritis Res Ther 2018; 20: 57.
- Vermeire S, Schreiber S, Petryka R, et al. Clinical remission in patients with moderate-to-severe Crohn's disease treated with filgotinib (the FITZROY study): results from a phase 2, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2017; 389: 266–75.
- 23 van der Heijde D, Baraliakos X, Gensler LS, et al. Efficacy and safety of filgotinib, a selective Janus kinase 1 inhibitor, in patients with active ankylosing spondylitis (TORTUGA): results from a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* 2018; published online Oct 22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32463-2.
- 24 Taylor W, Gladman D, Helliwell P, Marchesoni A, Mease P, Mielants H. Classification criteria for psoriatic arthritis: development of new criteria from a large international study. Arthritis Rheum 2006; 54: 2665–73.

- 25 Mease PJ, Woolley JM, Bitman B, Wang BC, Globe DR, Singh A. Minimally important difference of Health Assessment Questionnaire in psoriatic arthritis: relating thresholds of improvement in functional ability to patient-rated importance and satisfaction. J Rheumatol 2011; 38: 2461–65.
- Winthrop KL, Yamanaka H, Valdez H, et al. Herpes zoster and tofacitinib therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014; 66: 2675–84.
- 27 US Food and Drug Administration. FDA Briefing document. NDA 207924 Baricitnib, a Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitor for RA. 2018. https://www.fda.gov/downloads/advisorycommittees/ committeesmeetingmaterials/drugs/arthritisadvisorycommittee/ ucm605061.pdf (accessed Aug 28, 2018).
- D'Angelo S, Tramontano G, Gilio M, Leccese P, Olivieri I. Review of the treatment of psoriatic arthritis with biological agents: choice of drug for initial therapy and switch therapy for non-responders. Open Access Rheumatol 2017; 9: 21–28.
- 29 Mease P, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, et al. Secukinumab improves active psoriatic arthritis symptoms and inhibits radiographic progression: primary results from the randomised, double-blind, phase III FUTURE 5 study. Ann Rheum Dis 2018; 77: 890–97.
- 30 Mease PJ, van der Heijde D, Ritchlin CT, et al. Ixekizumab, an interleukin-17A specific monoclonal antibody, for the treatment of biologic-naive patients with active psoriatic arthritis: results from the 24-week randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled and active (adalimumab)-controlled period of the phase III trial SPIRIT-P1. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 79–87.
- 31 Nash P, Ohson K, Walsh J, et al. Early and sustained efficacy with apremilast monotherapy in biological-naive patients with psoriatic arthritis: a phase IIIB, randomised controlled trial (ACTIVE). Ann Rheum Dis 2018; 77: 690–98.
- 32 Wells AF, Edwards CJ, Kivitz AJ, et al. Apremilast monotherapy in DMARD-naive psoriatic arthritis patients: results of the randomized, placebo-controlled PALACE 4 trial. Rheumatology 2018; 57: 1253–63.